arXiv:1404.3477v2 [math.DG] 25 Apr 2014

An optimal inequality on warped product semi-slant submanifolds of nearly Kaehler manifolds

Siraj Uddin, Falleh R. Al-Solamy, V.A. Khan and Cenap Ozel

Abstract

Non-existence of warped product semi-slant submanifolds of Kaehler manifolds was proved in [17], it is interesting to find their existence. In this paper, we prove the existence of warped product semi-slant submanifolds of nearly Kaehler manifolds by a characterization. To this end we obtain an inequality for the squared norm of second fundamental form in terms of the warping function and the slant angle. The equality case is also discussed.

Key words: Warped product, slant submanifold, warped product semi-slant submanifolds, nearly Kaehler manifold.

1 Introduction

In 1969, Bishop and O'Neill [3] introduced the notion of warped product manifolds to construct examples of Riemannian manifolds with negative curvature. These manifolds are natural generalizations of Riemannian product manifolds. They defined these manifolds as: Let (N_1, g_1) and (N_2, g_2) be two Riemannian manifolds and f, a positive differentiable function on N_1. Consider the product manifold $N_1 \times N_2$ with its canonical projections $\pi : N_1 \times N_2 \to N_1$ and $\rho : N_1 \times N_2 \to N_2$. The warped product $M = N_1 \times_f N_2$ is the product manifold $N_1 \times N_2$ equipped with the Riemannian structure such that

$$\|X\|^2 = \|\pi_*(X)\|^2 + f^2(\pi(p))\|\rho_*(X)\|^2 \tag{1.1}$$

for any tangent vector $X \in T_pM$,where $*$ is the symbol for the tangent maps. Thus we have $g = g_1 + f^2 g_2$. The function f is called the warping function on M. It was proved in [3] that for any $X \in TN_1$ and $Z \in TN_2$, the following holds

$$\nabla_X Z = \nabla_Z X = (X \ln f)Z \tag{1.2}$$

where ∇ denote the Levi-Civita connection M. A warped product manifold $M = N_1 \times_f N_2$ is said to be *trivial* if the warping function f is constant. If $M = N_1 \times_f N_2$ be a warped product manifold then N_1 is totally geodesic and N_2 is a totally umbilical submanifold of M, respectively [3]. For the survey on warped product submanifolds we refer to [8].

Nearly Kaehler manifolds are exactly the Tachibana manifolds initially studied in [18]. Nearly Kaehler manifolds form an interesting class of manifolds admitting a metric connection with parallel totally anti-symmetric torsion [1]. The best known example of a nearly Kaehler non-Kaehler manifold is S^6, a six dimensional sphere. It has an almost complex structure J defined by the vector cross product in the space of purely imaginary Cayley numbers $\mathcal{O}$ which

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C40, 53C42, 53C15.

This research is supported by the research grant UMRG 117/10AFR (University of Malaya)

satisfies the nearly Kaehler structure. More general examples of nearly Kaehler manifolds are the homogeneous spaces G/K, where G is a compact semi-simple Lie group and K is the fixed point set of an automorphism of G of order 3 [19]. Strict nearly Kaehler manifolds obtained a lot of consideration in 1980s due to their relation to Killing spinors. Th. Friedrich and R. Grunewald showed in [9] that a 6-dimensional Riemannian manifold admits a Riemannian Killing spinor if and only if it is nearly Kaehler. The only known 6-dimensional strict nearly Kaehler manifolds are

$$S^6 = G_2/SU(3).Sp(2)/SU(2) \times U(1), \quad SU(3)/U(1) \times U(1), \quad S^3 \times S^3.$$

In fact, these are the only homogeneous nearly Kaehler manifolds in dimension six [4].

On the other hand, slant submanifolds of almost Hermitian manifolds were introduced by B.Y. Chen in [5] as a generalization of both holomorphic and totally real submanifolds. In [16], N. Papaghiuc has introduced another class of submanifolds in almost Hermitian manifolds, called the semi-slant submanifolds. These submanifolds are also a natural generalization of holomorphic, totally real, slant and CR submanifolds.

The idea of warped product submanifolds was given by B. Y. Chen in [7]. Since then, many mathematician extended this study for CR-warped product submanifolds of almost Hermitian as well as almost contact manifolds. Recently, B. Sahin [17] proved the non-existence of warped product semi-slant submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold. After that V.A. Khan and K.A. Khan [15] studied warped product semi-slant and warped product generic submanifolds of a nearly Kaehler manifold $\bar{M}$. They proved the non-existence case of warped product generic submanifolds $N \times_f N_T$ and in case of existence of warped product generic submanifolds $N_T \times_f N$, they obtained a characterization and discussed some other geometric properties, where N_T and N are holomorphic and a Riemannian submanifolds of $\bar{M}$, respectively. In case of warped product semi-slant the research problem is still open but only certain structures have the existence of warped product semi-slant submanifolds.

In this paper, first we prove the non-existence of warped product semi-slant submanifolds of the type $N_\theta \times_f N_T$ which generalizes the results obtained in [7] and [14] for the non-existence of warped product CR-submanifolds. In case of existence of warped products we obtain a geometric obstruction for second fundamental form in terms of the warping function and the slant angle which is also generalizing the results obtained for the inequality on CR-warped product submanifolds in both Kaehler and nearly Kaehler settings (see [7], [2]).

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we recall some basic formulae and definitions. In section 3, we prove the non-existence of warped product semi-slant submanifolds $N_\theta \times_f N_T$ of a nearly Kaehler manifold $\bar{M}$, where N_T and N_θ are holomorphic and proper slant submanifolds of $\bar{M}$. Also, the existence of warped product semi-slant submanifolds $N_T \times_f N_\theta$ is proved by a characterization theorem. In section 4, we apply some results of section 3 and obtain an inequality for the second fundamental form. The equality case is also discussed.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\bar{M}$ be an almost Hermitian manifold with almost complex structure J and a Riemannian metric g such that

$$(a)\ \ J^2 = -I, \qquad (b)\ \ g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y) \tag{2.1}$$

for all vector fields X, Y on $\bar{M}$.

Further let $T\bar{M}$ denote the tangent bundle of $\bar{M}$ and $\bar{\nabla}$, the covariant differential operator on $\bar{M}$ with respect to g. According to A. Gray [10], if the almost complex structure J satisfies

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X J)X = 0 \tag{2.2}$$

for any $X \in T\bar{M}$, then the manifold $\bar{M}$ is called a *nearly Kaehler manifold*. Equation (2.2) is equivalent to $(\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y J)X = 0$.

For a submanifold M of a Riemannian manifold $\bar{M}$, the Gauss, Weingarten formulae are given by

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y), \qquad \bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla^{\perp}_X N \tag{2.3}$$

for all $X, Y \in TM$, where ∇ is the induced Riemannian connection on M, N is a vector field normal to $\bar{M}$, h is the second fundamental form of M, $\nabla^{\perp}$ is the normal connection in the normal bundle $T^{\perp}M$ and A_N is the shape operator of the second fundamental form. They are related as

$$g(A_N X, Y) = g(h(X, Y), N) \tag{2.4}$$

where g denotes the Riemannian metric on $\bar{M}$ as well as the metric induced on M. The mean curvature vector H of M is given by $H = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} h(e_i, e_i)$, where n is the dimension of M and $\{e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n\}$ is a local orthonormal frame of vector fields on M. A submanifold M of an almost Hermitian manifold $\bar{M}$ is said to be *totally umbilical* if the second fundamental form satisfies $h(X, Y) = g(X, Y)H$, for all $X, Y \in TM$. The submanifold M is *totally geodesic* if $h(X, Y) = 0$, for all $X, Y \in TM$ and minimal if $H = 0$.

A submanifold M of an almost Hermitian manifold $\bar{M}$ is called *holomorphic* if, for any $p \in M$, we have $J(T_pM) = T_pM$, where T_pM denotes the tangent space of M at p. It is called *totally real (or Lagrangian)* if we have $J(T_pM) \subseteq T_p^{\perp}M$ for each $p \in M$, where $T_p^{\perp}M$ denotes the normal space of M in $\bar{M}$ at p.

Now, let $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of tangent space TM and e_r belongs to the orthonormal basis $\{e_{n+1}, \cdots e_m\}$ of the normal bundle $T^{\perp}M$, we put

$$h^r_{ij} = g(h(e_i, e_j), e_r) \quad \text{and} \quad \|h\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g(h(e_i, e_j), h(e_i, e_j)).$$

For a differentiable function φ on M, the gradient $grad\varphi$ is defined by

$$g(grad\varphi, X) = X\varphi \tag{2.5}$$

for any $X \in TM$. As a consequence, we have

$$\|grad\varphi\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (e_i(\varphi))^2. \tag{2.6}$$

For any $X \in TM$ and $N \in T^{\perp}M$, the transformations JX and JN are decomposed into tangential, normal part as

$$JX = TX + FX, \quad JN = BN + CN. \tag{2.7}$$

Now, denote by $\mathcal{P}_X Y$ and $\mathcal{Q}_X Y$ the tangential and normal parts of $(\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y$, i.e.,

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y = \mathcal{P}_X Y + \mathcal{Q}_X Y \tag{2.8}$$

for all $X, Y \in TM$. Making use of (2.7) and the Gauss and Weingarten formulae, the following equations may easily be obtained

$$\mathcal{P}_X Y = (\bar{\nabla}_X T)Y - A_{FY}X - Bh(X,Y) \tag{2.9}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_X Y = (\bar{\nabla}_X F)Y + h(X,TY) - Ch(X,Y) \tag{2.10}$$

Similarly, for any $N \in T^{\perp}M$, denoting tangential and normal parts of $(\bar{\nabla}_X J)N$ by $\mathcal{P}_X N$ and $\mathcal{Q}_X N$ respectively, we obtain

$$\mathcal{P}_X N = (\bar{\nabla}_X B)N + TA_N X - A_{CN}X \tag{2.11}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_X N = (\bar{\nabla}_X C)N + h(BN,X) + FA_N X \tag{2.12}$$

where the covariant derivative of $T,\ F,\ B$ and C are defined by

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X T)Y = \nabla_X TY - T\nabla_X Y, \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X F)Y = \nabla^{\perp}_X FY - F\nabla_X Y$$

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X B)N = \nabla_X BN - B\nabla^{\perp}_X N \ \text{ and } \ (\bar{\nabla}_X C)N = \nabla^{\perp}_X CN - C\nabla^{\perp}_X N$$

for all $X, Y \in TM$ and $N \in T^{\perp}M$.

It is straightforward to verify the following properties of $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$, given by K.A. Khan and V.A. Khan in [12], which we enlist here for later use

(p_1) (i) $\mathcal{P}_{X+Y}W = \mathcal{P}_X W + \mathcal{P}_Y W$, (ii) $\mathcal{Q}_{X+Y}W = \mathcal{Q}_X W + \mathcal{Q}_Y W$,

(p_2) (i) $\mathcal{P}_X(Y+W) = \mathcal{P}_X Y + \mathcal{P}_X W$, (ii) $\mathcal{Q}_X(Y+W) = \mathcal{Q}_X Y + \mathcal{Q}_X W$,

(p_3) (i) $g(\mathcal{P}_X Y,\ W) = -g(Y, \mathcal{P}_X W)$, (ii) $g(\mathcal{Q}_X Y,\ N) = -g(Y, \mathcal{P}_X N)$,

(p_4) $\mathcal{P}_X JY + \mathcal{Q}_X JY = -J(\mathcal{P}_X Y + \mathcal{Q}_X Y)$

for all $X, Y, W \in TM$ and $N \in T^{\perp}M$.

On a Riemannian submanifold M of a nearly Kaehler manifold, by equations (2.2) and (2.8), we have

$$(a)\ \ \mathcal{P}_X Y + \mathcal{P}_Y X = 0, \ \ (b)\ \ \mathcal{Q}_X Y + \mathcal{Q}_Y X = 0 \tag{2.13}$$

for any $X,\ Y \in TM$.

For each non zero vector X tangent to M at p the angle $\theta(X)$ between JX and T_pM is called the *Wirtinger angle* of X. Then M is said to be a *slant submanifold* if the angle $\theta(X)$ is constant, which is independent of the choice of $p \in M$ and $X \in T_pM$. Holomorphic and totally real submanifolds are slant submanifolds with slant angles 0 and $\pi/2$, respectively. A slant submanifold is said to be *proper* if it is neither holomorphic nor totally real. More generally, a distribution $\mathcal{D}$ on $\bar{M}$ is called a *slant distribution* if the angle $\theta(X)$ between JX and $\mathcal{D}_x$ has the same value θ for each $x \in \bar{M}$ and a non zero vector $X \in \mathcal{D}_x$.

For a slant submanifold M of an almost Hermitian manifold $\bar{M}$, we have

$$T^2(X) = -\cos^2\theta(X), \tag{2.14}$$

where θ is the slant angle of M in $\bar{M}$ (see [6]). As a consequence of the formula (2.14), we have

$$g(TX, TY) = \cos^2\theta g(X, Y), \tag{2.15}$$

$$g(FX, FY) = \sin^2\theta g(X, Y), \tag{2.16}$$

for any $X, Y \in TM$.

A natural generalization of CR-submanifolds in terms of slant distribution was given by N. Papaghiuc [16]. These submanifolds are known as semi-slant submanifolds. He defined these submanifolds as

Definition 2.1. *A Riemannian submanifold M of an almost Hermitian manifold $\bar{M}$ is said to be a semi-slant submanifold if there exist two orthogonal distributions $\mathcal{D}_1$ and $\mathcal{D}_2$ such that*

(i) $TM = \mathcal{D}_1 \oplus \mathcal{D}_2$

(ii) *$\mathcal{D}_1$ is holomorphic i.e., $J\mathcal{D}_1 = \mathcal{D}_1$*

(iii) *$\mathcal{D}_2$ is a slant distribution with slant angle $\theta \neq \frac{\pi}{2}$.*

For the integrability of the distributions involved in the above definition, V.A. Khan [13] proved the following result which we will use in our next section.

Theorem 2.1. [13] *For a semi-slant submanifold M of a nearly Kaehler manifold $\bar{M}$, the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *The holomorphic distribution $\mathcal{D}_1$ on M is integrable,*

(ii) *$h(X, TY) = h(TX, Y)$ and $\mathcal{Q}_X Y = 0$,*

(iii) *$h(X, TY) + h(TX, Y) = 2(F\nabla_X Y + Ch(X, Y))$*

for each $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}_1$.

If ν is the invariant subspace of the normal bundle $T^\perp M$ under J, then the normal bundle of a semi-slant submanifold can be decomposed as $T^\perp M = F\mathcal{D}_2 \oplus \nu$, where $F\mathcal{D}_2$ is the normal sub bundle corresponding to the slant distribution $\mathcal{D}_2$ in the normal bundle $T^\perp M$.

3 Warped product semi-slant submanifolds

In this section, we study warped product submanifolds of a nearly Kaehler manifold $\bar{M}$, either in the form $N_\theta \times_f N_T$ or $N_T \times_f N_\theta$, where N_T and N_θ are holomorphic and proper slant submanifolds of $\bar{M}$, respectively. These two types of warped products are the products in between the holomorphic and proper slant submanifolds of $\bar{M}$, we call such types of warped products as warped product semi-slant submanifolds in the same sense of warped product CR-submanifolds [7].

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\bar{M}$ be a nearly Kaehler manifold and $M = N_\theta \times_f N_T$ be a warped product submanifold of $\bar{M}$. Then M is Riemannian product of N_T and N_θ, where N_T and N_θ are holomorphic and proper slant submanifolds of $\bar{M}$, respectively.*

Proof. For any $X \in TN_T$ and $Z \in TN_\theta$, we have

$$g(h(X,X),FZ) = g(\bar{\nabla}_X X, FZ) = g(\bar{\nabla}_X X, JZ) - g(\bar{\nabla}_X X, TZ).$$

Using the property of Riemannian metric g, we get

$$g(h(X,X),FZ) = -g(J\bar{\nabla}_X X, Z) + g(X, \bar{\nabla}_X TZ).$$

Then from (2.3) and the covariant derivative property of J, we obtain

$$g(h(X,X),FZ) = g((\bar{\nabla}_X J)X, Z) - g(\bar{\nabla}_X JX, Z) + g(X, \nabla_X TZ).$$

Again, using the property of Riemannian metric g and using (1.2), (2.2) and (2.3), we derive

$$g(h(X,X),FZ) = (TZ \ln f)\|X\|^2. \tag{3.1}$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(h(X,JX),FZ) &= g(\bar{\nabla}_X JX, JZ) - g(\bar{\nabla}_X JX, TZ) \\ &= g(J\bar{\nabla}_X X, JZ) - g(J\bar{\nabla}_X X, TZ). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.1) and the property of Riemannian metric g, we get

$$g(h(X,JX),FZ) = g(\bar{\nabla}_X X, Z) + g(\bar{\nabla}_X X, T^2Z) + g(\bar{\nabla}_X X, FTZ).$$

Again by the property of Riemannian metric g and by (1.2), (2.3) and (2.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(h(X,JX),FZ) &= -(Z \ln f)\|X\|^2 + (Z \ln f)\cos^2\theta\|X\|^2 + g(h(X,X),FTZ) \\ &= -(Z \ln f)\sin^2\theta\|X\|^2 + g(h(X,X),FTZ). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Interchanging Z by TZ in (3.2) and using (2.14), we derive

$$g(h(X,JX),FTZ) = -(TZ \ln f)\sin^2\theta\|X\|^2 - \cos^2\theta g(h(X,X),FZ). \tag{3.3}$$

Then from (3.1) and (3.3), we get

$$g(h(X,JX),FTZ) = -(TZ \ln f)\|X\|^2. \tag{3.4}$$

Interchanging X by JX in (3.4), we obtain

$$g(h(X,JX),FTZ) = (TZ \ln f)\|X\|^2. \tag{3.5}$$

Thus by (3.4) and (3.5), we conclude that $(TZ \ln f)\|X\|^2 = 0$, for any non zero vector $X \in TN_T$ and $Z \in TN_\theta$, which means that the warping function f is constant on M and thus the proof is complete. ■

Now, we discuss the warped product semi-slant submanifolds of the type $N_T \times_f N_\theta$ of a nearly Kaehler manifold. In this case we prove the following result for later use.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $M = N_T \times_f N_\theta$ be a warped product submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold $\bar{M}$. Then*

(i) $g(h(X,Y),FZ)=0$,

(ii) $g(h(JX,Z),FZ)=(X\ln f)\|Z\|^2$,

(iii) $g(h(X,Z),FZ)=-(JX\ln f)\|Z\|^2$,

for any $X,Y\in TN_T$ *and* $Z\in TN_\theta$.

Proof. The first part can be proved by an easy computation using (1.2), the nearly Kaehler character (2.2) and the orthogonality of two tangent spaces. For the other two parts of the lemma, we have

$$g(h(JX,Z),FZ)=g(\bar{\nabla}_Z JX,JZ)-g(\bar{\nabla}_Z JX,TZ)$$

for any $X\in TN_T$ and $Z\in TN_\theta$. Using (2.3), (1.2) and the property of Riemannian metric g, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(h(JX,Z),FZ) &= -g(JX,\bar{\nabla}_Z JZ)-(JX\ln f)g(Z,TZ)\\ &= -g(JX,(\bar{\nabla}_Z J)Z)-g(JX,J\bar{\nabla}_Z Z). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.1), (2.2), we derive

$$g(h(JX,Z),FZ)=-g(X,\bar{\nabla}_Z Z)=g(\bar{\nabla}_Z X,Z).$$

Then by (2.3) and (1.2), we arrive at

$$g(h(JX,Z),FZ)=(X\ln f)\|Z\|^2, \tag{3.6}$$

which is the second part of the lemma and the last part can be obtained by interchanging X by JX in (3.6). This completes the proof. ■

If we interchange Z by TZ for any $Z\in TN_\theta$ in second and the third parts of the Lemma 3.1, we obtain the following relations, respectively

$$g(h(JX,TZ),FTZ)=(X\ln f)\cos^2\theta\|Z\|^2 \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$g(h(X,TZ),FTZ)=-(JX\ln f)\cos^2\theta\|Z\|^2. \tag{3.8}$$

Now, we give the following result for later use proved by V.A. Khan and K.A. Khan [15].

Lemma 3.2. *On a warped product semi-slant submanifold* $M=N_T\times_f N_\theta$ *of a nearly Kaehler manifold* $\bar{M}$

$$g(h(X,TZ),FZ)=-g(h(X,Z),FTZ)=-\frac{1}{3}(X\ln f)\cos^2\theta\|Z\|^2 \tag{3.9}$$

for any $X\in TN_T$ *and* $Z\in TN_\theta$.

If we replace X by JX in (3.9), for any $X\in TN_T$ then we obtain

$$g(h(JX,TZ),FZ)=-g(h(JX,Z),FTZ)=-\frac{1}{3}(JX\ln f)\cos^2\theta\|Z\|^2. \tag{3.10}$$

In the following theorem we prove the existence of warped product semi-slant submanifolds by a characterization.

Theorem 3.2. *Let M be a semi-slant submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold $\bar{M}$ such that the holomorphic distribution $\mathcal{D}_1$ and slant distribution $\mathcal{D}_2$ both are integrable. Then M is locally a warped product of holomorphic and proper slant submanifolds if and only if*

$$A_{FZ}X = -[\frac{1}{3}(X\mu)TZ + (JX\mu)Z] \tag{3.11}$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{D}_1$ and any $Z \in \mathcal{D}_2$ and for a differentiable function μ on M satisfying $W\mu = 0$, for any $W \in \mathcal{D}_2$.

Proof. Let $M = N_T \times_f N_\theta$ be a warped product semi-slant submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold $\bar{M}$ such that N_T and N_θ are holomorphic and proper slant submanifolds of $\bar{M}$, respectively. Then by Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we get (3.11).

Conversely, if M is a semi-slant submanifold with integrable holomorphic and slant distributions. Then by Theorem 2.1 and (2.10), we get

$$F\nabla_X Y = h(X, TY) - Ch(X, Y) \tag{3.12}$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}_1$. Also, by the hypothesis of the theorem, we have

$$g(h(X, Y), FZ) = g(A_{FZ}X, Y) = 0,$$

for any $Z \in \mathcal{D}_2$. This means that $h(X, Y) \in \nu$, the invariant normal subbundle of $T^\perp M$ orthogonal to $F\mathcal{D}_2$. Then the terms in the right hand side of (3.12) belongs to ν while the left hand side is in FTM orthogonal to ν. Hence, we conclude that $F\nabla_X Y = 0$, which means that $\nabla_X Y \in \mathcal{D}_1$, for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}_1$, thus the leaves of $\mathcal{D}_1$ are totally geodesic in M. Now, since $\mathcal{D}_2$ is also assumed to be integrable. We consider N_θ be a leaf of $\mathcal{D}_2$ and h^θ be the second fundamental form of the immersion of N_θ in M. Then for any $X \in \mathcal{D}_1$ and $Z, W \in \mathcal{D}_2$, we have

$$g(h^\theta(Z, W), JX) = g(\nabla_Z W, JX) = g(\bar{\nabla}_Z W, JX) = -g(J\bar{\nabla}_Z W, X).$$

Using the covariant differentiation property of J, we obtain

$$g(h^\theta(Z, W), JX) = g((\bar{\nabla}_Z J)W, X) - g(\bar{\nabla}_Z JW, X).$$

Then from (2.7) and (2.8), we derive

$$g(h^\theta(Z, W), JX) = g(\mathcal{P}_Z W, X) - g(\bar{\nabla}_Z TW, X) - g(\bar{\nabla}_Z FW, X).$$

Using (2.3) and (2.4), we get

$$g(h^\theta(Z, W), JX) = g(\mathcal{P}_Z W, X) - g(h^\theta(Z, TW), X) - g(A_{FW}X, Z).$$

Then by the hypothesis of the theorem, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} g(h^\theta(Z, W), JX) &= g(\mathcal{P}_Z W, X) - g(h^\theta(Z, TW), X) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{3}(X\mu)g(Z, TW) - (JX\mu)g(Z, W). \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Interchanging X by JX and W by TW and using (2.14), we arrive at

$$-g(h^\theta(Z,TW),X) = g(\mathcal{P}_Z TW, JX) + \cos^2\theta g(h^\theta(Z,W),JX) + \frac{1}{3}(JX\mu)\cos^2\theta g(Z,W) + (X\mu)g(Z,TW). \tag{3.14}$$

Thus from (3.13) and (3.14), we obtain

$$\sin^2\theta g(h^\theta(Z,W),JX) = g(\mathcal{P}_Z W,X) + g(\mathcal{P}_Z TW,JX) - (1-\frac{1}{3}\cos^2\theta)(JX\mu)g(Z,W) + \frac{2}{3}(X\mu)g(Z,TW). \tag{3.15}$$

Now, we compute the second term of right hand side of (3.15) by using the properties of $\mathcal{P}$ as follows. By the property (p_3) (i) and then (p_4), we get

$$g(\mathcal{P}_Z TW, JX) = -g(TW, \mathcal{P}_Z JX) = g(TW, J\mathcal{P}_Z X).$$

Then using a property Riemannian metric g, we arrive at

$$g(\mathcal{P}_Z TW, JX) = -g(JTW, \mathcal{P}_Z X) = -g(T^2W, \mathcal{P}_Z X).$$

Using (2.14) and the property (p_3) (i), we get

$$g(\mathcal{P}_Z TW, JX) = -\cos^2\theta g(\mathcal{P}_Z W, X). \tag{3.16}$$

Thus from (3.15) and (3.16), we derive

$$\sin^2\theta g(h^\theta(Z,W),JX) = \sin^2\theta g(\mathcal{P}_Z W,X) - (1-\frac{1}{3}\cos^2\theta)(JX\mu)g(Z,W) + \frac{2}{3}(X\mu)g(Z,TW). \tag{3.17}$$

By polarization identity, we obtain

$$\sin^2\theta g(h^\theta(Z,W),JX) = \sin^2\theta g(\mathcal{P}_W Z,X) - (1-\frac{1}{3}\cos^2\theta)(JX\mu)g(Z,W) + \frac{2}{3}(X\mu)g(TZ,W). \tag{3.18}$$

Then using the property of Riemannian metric g and (2.13) (a) in (3.17) and (3.18), we get

$$g(h^\theta(Z,W),JX) = (\frac{1}{3}\cot^2\theta - \csc^2\theta)(JX\mu)g(Z,W).$$

Finally, by (2.5) we arrive at

$$h^\theta(Z,W) = (\frac{1}{3}\cot^2\theta - \csc^2\theta)g(Z,W)grad\mu. \tag{3.19}$$

Thus, from (3.19) we conclude that N_θ is totally umbilical in M with mean curvature vector $H^\theta = (\frac{1}{3}\cot^2\theta - \csc^2\theta)grad\mu$. Now, we prove that H^θ is parallel corresponding to the normal connection D^θ of N_θ in M. For, this consider any $Y \in \mathcal{D}_1$ and $W \in \mathcal{D}_2$, we have

$$g(D^\theta_W H^\theta, Y) = [\frac{1}{3}\cot^2\theta - \csc^2\theta]g(D^\theta_W grad\mu, Y)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= [\frac{1}{3}\cot^2\theta - \csc^2\theta] g(\nabla_W grad\mu, Y) \\
&= [\frac{1}{3}\cot^2\theta - \csc^2\theta] \{W g(grad\mu, Y) - g(grad\mu, \nabla_W Y)\} \\
&= [\frac{1}{3}\cot^2\theta - \csc^2\theta] \{W(Y\mu) - g(grad\mu, [W, Y]) \\
&\quad - g(grad\mu, \nabla_Y W)\} \\
&= [\frac{1}{3}\cot^2\theta - \csc^2\theta] \{Y(W\mu)) + g(\nabla_Y grad\mu, W)\} \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

since $W\mu = 0$, for all $W \in \mathcal{D}_2$ and thus $\nabla_Y grad\mu \in \mathcal{D}_1$. This means that the mean curvature vector H^θ of N_θ is parallel. Thus the spherical condition is satisfied, that is, N_θ is an extrinsic sphere in M. Hence, by a result of Hiepko [11], M is a warped product manifold of N_T and N_θ, where N_T and N_θ are integral submanifolds of $\mathcal{D}_1$ and $\mathcal{D}_2$, respectively. This proves the theorem completely. ■

4 Inequality for warped product semi-slant submanifolds

Now, we construct an inequality for the second fundamental form h of the immersion of $M = N_T \times_f N_\theta$ into a nearly Kaehler manifold $\bar{M}$. We use some formulae and results from the previous sections to construct the following inequality.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $M=N_T \times_f N_\theta$ be a warped product semi-slant submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold $\bar{M}$ such that N_T and N_θ are holomorphic and proper slant submanifolds of $\bar{M}$, respectively. Then*

(i) *The squared norm of the second fundamental form h of M satisfies*

$$\|h\|^2 \geq 4\beta\{\csc^2\theta + \frac{1}{9}\cot^2\theta\}\|grad\ln f\|^2 \tag{4.1}$$

where $grad\ln f$ is the gradient of $\ln f$ and 2β is the dimension of N_θ.

(ii) *If the equality sign in (4.1) holds, then N_T is totally geodesic in $\bar{M}$ and N_θ is a totally umbilical submanifold of $\bar{M}$. Moreover, M is a minimal submanifold of $\bar{M}$.*

Proof. Let $M = N_T \times_f N_\theta$ be a n-dimensional warped product semi-slant submanifold of a m-dimensional nearly Kaehler manifold $\bar{M}$ such that N_T is a 2α-dimensional holomorphic submanifold and N_θ is a 2β-dimensional proper slant submanifold of $\bar{M}$, respectively. Let us denote by $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}_\theta$, the tangent bundles on N_T and N_θ, respectively and let $\{e_1, \cdots, e_\alpha, e_{\alpha+1} = Je_1, \cdots, e_{2\alpha} = Je_\alpha\}$ and $\{e_{2\alpha+1} = e_1^*, \cdots, e_{2\alpha+\beta} = e_\beta^*, e_{2\alpha+\beta+1} = \sec\theta Te_1^*, \cdots, e_n = \sec\theta Te_\beta^*\}$ be the local orthonormal frames of $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}_\theta$, respectively. Then, the orthonormal frames of $F\mathcal{D}_\theta$ and ν are $\{e_{n+1} = \tilde{e}_1 = \csc\theta Fe_1^*, \cdots, \tilde{e}_\beta = \csc\theta Fe_\beta^*, \tilde{e}_{\beta+1} = \csc\theta\sec\theta FTe_1^*, \cdots, \tilde{e}_{2\beta} = \csc\theta\sec\theta FTe_\beta^*\}$ and $\{e_{n+2\beta+1}\cdots, e_m\}$, respectively,

where $e_{n+2\beta+1}\cdots, e_m$ are orthonormal vectors in the invariant normal subbundle ν of $T^{\perp}M$. The dimensions of $F\mathcal{D}_\theta$ and ν will be 2β and $m-n-2\beta$, respectively. Then, by definition we have

$$\|h\|^2 = \sum_{r=n+1}^{m}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g(h(e_i,e_j),e_r)^2.$$

Using assumed frames of $F\mathcal{D}_\theta$ and ν, the above equation can be written as

$$\|h\|^2 = \sum_{r=n+1}^{n+2\beta}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g(h(e_i,e_j),e_r)^2 + \sum_{r=n+2\beta+1}^{m}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g(h(e_i,e_j),e_r)^2. \tag{4.2}$$

In the first term of right hand side in (4.2) e_r belongs to $F\mathcal{D}_\theta$ while in the second term of right hand side e_r belongs to ν. We shall equate only first term of right hand side, then we get

$$\|h\|^2 \geq \sum_{r=n+1}^{n+2\beta}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g(h(e_i,e_j),e_r)^2.$$

The above inequality for the given frame of $F\mathcal{D}_\theta$ will be

$$\|h\|^2 \geq \sum_{r=1}^{2\beta}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g(h(e_i,e_j),\tilde{e}_r)^2.$$

Then for the orthonormal frames of $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}_\theta$, the above equation takes the form

$$\|h\|^2 \geq \sum_{r=1}^{2\beta}\sum_{i,j=1}^{2\alpha} g(h(e_i,e_j),\tilde{e}_r)^2 + 2\sum_{r=1}^{2\beta}\sum_{i=1}^{2\alpha}\sum_{j=1}^{2\beta} g(h(e_i,e_j^*),\tilde{e}_r)^2$$

$$+\sum_{r=1}^{2\beta}\sum_{i,j=1}^{2\beta} g(h(e_i^*,e_j^*),\tilde{e}_r)^2. \tag{4.3}$$

Thus by Lemma 3.1 (i), the first term of the right hand side in (4.3) is zero and we shall compute just the next term and leave the third term, then we get

$$\|h\|^2 \geq 2\sum_{r=1}^{2\beta}\sum_{i=1}^{2\alpha}\sum_{j=1}^{2\beta} g(h(e_i,e_j^*),\tilde{e}_r)^2.$$

Since $r,j = 1,\cdots,2\beta$, then the above inequality can be written for one summation as

$$\|h\|^2 \geq 2\sum_{i=1}^{2\alpha}\sum_{j=1}^{2\beta} g(h(e_i,e_j^*),\tilde{e}_j)^2.$$

Using the frames of $\mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ and $F\mathcal{D}_\theta$, we derive

$$\|h\|^2 \geq 2\csc^2\theta\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha}\sum_{j=1}^{\beta} g(h(e_i,e_j^*),Fe_j^*)^2$$

$$+ 2\csc^2\theta\sec^4\theta\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha}\sum_{j=1}^{\beta} g(h(e_i, Te_j^*), FTe_j^*)^2$$

$$+2\csc^2\theta\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha}\sum_{j=1}^{\beta} g(h(Je_i, e_j^*), Fe_j^*)^2$$

$$+ 2\csc^2\theta\sec^4\theta\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha}\sum_{j=1}^{\beta} g(h(Je_i, Te_j^*), FTe_j^*)^2$$

$$+ 2\csc^2\theta\sec^2\theta\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha}\sum_{j=1}^{\beta} g(h(e_i, Te_j^*), Fe_j^*)^2$$

$$+ 2\csc^2\theta\sec^2\theta\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha}\sum_{j=1}^{\beta} g(h(e_i, e_j^*), FTe_j^*)^2$$

$$+ 2\csc^2\theta\sec^2\theta\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha}\sum_{j=1}^{\beta} g(h(Je_i, Te_j^*), Fe_j^*)^2$$

$$+ 2\csc^2\theta\sec^2\theta\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha}\sum_{j=1}^{\beta} g(h(Je_i, e_j^*), FTe_j^*)^2. \tag{4.4}$$

Then by Lemma 3.1 (ii)-(iii) and the relations (3.7), (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10), we obtain

$$\|h\|^2 \geq 4\csc^2\theta\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha}\sum_{j=1}^{\beta}\{1+\frac{1}{9}\cos^2\theta\}(e_i\ln f)^2 g(e_j^*, e_j^*)^2$$

$$+ 4\csc^2\theta\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha}\sum_{j=1}^{\beta}\{1+\frac{1}{9}\cos^2\theta\}(Je_i\ln f)^2 g(e_j^*, e_j^*)^2.$$

Thus from (2.6) the above expression will be

$$\|h\|^2 \geq 4\csc^2\theta\sum_{j=1}^{\beta}\{1+\frac{1}{9}\cos^2\theta\}\|grad\ln f\|^2 g(e_j^*, e_j^*)^2$$

$$= 4\beta\{\csc^2\theta+\frac{1}{9}\cot^2\theta\}\|grad\ln f\|^2,$$

which is the inequality (4.1). If the equality holds in (4.1), then by (4.2) and (4.3), we get

$$h(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D}) = 0, \quad h(\mathcal{D}_\theta,\mathcal{D}_\theta) = 0, \quad h(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D}_\theta) \subset F\mathcal{D}_\theta. \tag{4.5}$$

Now, for any $Z, W \in \mathcal{D}_\theta$ and $X \in \mathcal{D}$, we have

$$g(h^\theta(Z,W),X) = g(\nabla_Z W, X) = -g(W,\nabla_Z X) = -(X\ln f)g(Z,W)$$

where h^θ is the second fundamental form of N_θ in M. Using (2.5), we obtain

$$g(h^\theta(Z,W),X) = -g(Z,W)g(grad\ln f, X), \tag{4.6}$$

where $grad \ln f$ is the gradient of $\ln f$. Then from (4.6), we get

$$h^{\theta}(Z,W) = -g(Z,W)grad \ln f. \tag{4.7}$$

Since for a warped product manifold $M = N_1 \times_f N_2$, we know that N_1 is totally geodesic and N_2 is totally umbilical in M [3]. Thus N_T is totally geodesic submanifold in M and with this fact the first condition of (4.5) implies that N_T is totally geodesic in $\bar{M}$. Also, the second condition of (4.5) with (4.7) implies that N_θ is totally umbilical in $\bar{M}$. Moreover all conditions of (4.5) imply that M is a minimal submanifold of $\bar{M}$. This completes the proof of the theorem. ■

References

[1] I. Agricola, *The Srni lectures on non-integrable geometries with torsion*, Arch. Math. (Brno) 42 (2006), 5-84.

[2] N.S. Al-Luhaibi, F.R. Al-Solamy and V.A. Khan, *CR-warped product submanifolds of nearly Kaehler manifolds*, J. Korean Math. Soc. 46 (2009), 979-995.

[3] R.L. Bishop and B. O'Neill, *Manifolds of negative curvature*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 145 (1969), 1-49.

[4] J.B. Butruille, *Classification des variétés approximativement kähleriennes homogènes*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 27 (2005), 201-225.

[5] B.Y. Chen, *Slant immersions*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 41(1990), 135-147.

[6] B.Y. Chen, *Geometry of slant submanifolds,* Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Leuven, 1990.

[7] B.Y. Chen, *Geometry of warped product CR-submanifolds in Kaehler manifolds*, Monatsh. Math. 133 (2001), 177-195.

[8] B.Y. Chen, *A survey on geometry of warped product submanifolds*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1307.0236v1.

[9] T. Friedrich and R. Grunewald, *On the first eigenvalue of the Dirac operator on 6-dimensional manifolds*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 3 (1985), 265-273.

[10] A. Gray, *Nearly Kaehler manifolds,* J. Differ. Geom. 4 (1970), 283-309.

[11] S. Hiepko, *Eine inner kennzeichungder verzerrten produkte*, Math. Ann. 241 (1979), 209-215.

[12] K.A. Khan, V.A. Khan, *Totally umbilical CR-submanifolds of a nearly Kaehler manifold,* Geometraie Dedicata 50 (1994) 47-51.

[13] V.A. Khan and M.A. Khan, *Semi-slant submanifolds of a nearly kaehler manifold*, Turk. J. Math. 31 (2007), 341-353.

[14] V.A. Khan, K.A. Khan, and S. Uddin, *Warped product CR-submanifolds of a nearly Kaehler manifold,* SUT Math. J. 43 (2007), 201-213.

[15] V.A. Khan and K.A. Khan, *Generic warped product submanifolds in nearly Kaehler manifolds,* Beiträge Algebra Geom. 50 (2009), 337-352.

[16] N. Papaghiuc, *Semi-slant submanifolds of Kaehlerian manifold*, Ann. St. Univ. Iasi 9(1994), 55-61.

[17] B. Sahin, *Non-existence of warped product semi-slant submanifolds of Kaehler manifolds*, Geometriae Dedicata 117 (2006) 195-202.

[18] S. Tachibana, *On almost-analytic vectors in certain almost Hermitian manifolds*, Tohoku Math. J. 11 (1959), 351-363.

[19] J.A. Wolf and A. Gray, *Homogeneous spaces defined by Lie group automorphisms I*, J. Differ. Geom. 2 (1968), 77-114.

Siraj Uddin
Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science,University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
E-mail: siraj.ch@gmail.com

Falleh Rijaullah Al-Solamy
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Abdul Aziz University, 21589 Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
E-mail: falleh@hotmail.com

Viqar Azam Khan
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Aligarh Muslim University, 202002 Aligarh, India
E-mail: viqarster@gmail.com

Cenap Ozel
Department of Mathematics, Abant Izzet Baysal University, Bolu, Turkey
E-mail: cenap.ozel@gmail.com